

FINANCE COMMITTEE | 23 APR 2018

Women's Rugby | Reserve Request

Presentation details:

- World Rugby Company erroneously sent permanent, not semi-permanent, uprights
- in discussion with WRC, they admitted fault for shipping incorrect equipment
- agreed to waive shipping fee (\$500) but still charging restocking (\$350)
- VPF suggested having advisor call company; potentially reach out to TULAP
- Jane suggested reaching out to University Purchasing Office
- new equipment is from a different company

\$5,750 cost of new equipment less refund from return 9-0-1

Green Club | Budget Contestation

Presentation details:

- food budget was cut, even though spent in full tis year and wasn't even able to cover all expenses
- honoraria was cut \$1000; bringing in speakers
- over 60 students in attendance at Panel this year
- \$311 spent this year
- \$3000 (all) of this year's honoraria spent for panel
- former VPF suggested use of Co-programming
- could do without \$100 increase in travel

Discussion details:

- increase in food budget

\$3,300 200 increase in food 2-9-0

Veggie Club | Budget Contestation

Presentation details:

- requesting \$800 increase to bring speakers
- hoping to prove programming capacity and work up to higher speakers' budgets
- 16 events per semester → 32 events per year
- growing membership
- want the opportunity to support local chefs, vendors
 - brought in chef who opened first vegan restaurant in NOLA

Voice vote in agreement to keep budget the same

CACTUS | Budget Contestation

Presentation details:

- challenging the idea that CPS will cover lost funding from USG—they won't
- CPS fronts costs for Outreach, but does not cover Overspending
- Discussing Community Involvement Awards: recognizing outstanding students, orgs, SL classes, and community partners
 - not an invitation only event
 - CPS alumni want to sponsor cash prizes for recipients — won't fund if funding for the event isn't taking place
 - clarifying that funding is only for food, not for the awards
- **Discussing Outreach volunteer expenses:
 - only received half—want to clarify function
 - community partners won't partner next year because of underfunding
 - threatening not to partner
 - NOT t-shirts
 - shirts come from on-campus fundraising → not reliable
 - prioritize funding to community partners out of 2
 - Departmental monetary contributions
 - Items contributed by PJs, Coca Cola, Costco etc
- VPF suggested doing reconciliation process earlier in the year; Jane suggested getting funding through the SOC over the Summer
- Discussing cuts to transportation, focus on Motor Pool
 - right now: need funding for more than 3 MP vehicles (larger Habitat builds for example), have to use shuttles
 - 14,500 by the end of March;
- focused on sustaining current programming, not growth

Discussion details:

- we have the power to fund things of value to campus, even if it shouldn't be USG's responsibility
- VPF willing to help locate funding for CIA
- Voice vote on Campus Involvement Awards: rather fund others
- potential increase of \$2000: transportation
- question over precedent of re-presenting budgets
- giving funding for CACTUS org transportation (+2000) - already a self requested cut

CACTUS Org transport: 14,000 → 16,000

Outreach transport: 75,000 → 85,000

Donations to partners: 5,000 → 7,500

New total: \$55,900

\$ 5,500 increase from initial proposal 7-3-0

SAPHE | Budget Contestation

Presentation details:

- Statement from SAPHE: frustrated by decision to cut funding, prioritization of programming/entertainment funding > vital campus services, stated priorities
- VPF noting that SAPHE spent more than as discussed at initial presentation
- much leftover merchandise (noted at initial presentation) had been used during Healthy Relationships Week
- \$3,197 spent out of this year's budget
- important of giveaways: visibility of resource, dresses problem that students don't know what they're resources are on campus

250 increase 7-1-1
3330 total

USG | Budget Contestation

Presentation details:

- Discussing PLPs (Personal Leadership Projects for Freshmen Leadership Program)
 - pair with community partners and campus partners
 - looking to get some funding, if not full \$1000 request
 - PLPs have been run for past 2 years, over 17 successful projects; 5 otherwise viable projects failed due to lack of funding
 - lack of funding → same types of PLPs over and over (drives, donations)
 - senators could get projects funded by partnering with FLP members
 - potentially requiring FLP members to present PLPs to the Senate to secure funding
- opposition to increase

TULAP | Tabled Budget

Discussion details:

- Fund in full?
- question of removal of staff — Jane suggests requesting activity reports

\$67,528 Fund in full 11-0-0

The Crescent | 11-0-0 to increase \$50